



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/063,335	04/20/1998	J. DUANE NORTHCUTT	830001013/P.	4272	
32291 7590 04/10/2006			EXAMINER		
MARTINE PI	ENILLA & GENCARE	VU, VIET DUY			
SUITE 200	YDRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUNNYVALE	, CA 94085	2154			

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			tion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/063,	335	NORTHCUTT ET AL.	
		Examine	er	Art Unit	
		Viet Vu		2154	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet with th	e correspondence addi	ress
WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions sitX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comre period for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months. It patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this com DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ □	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11 October 20	05		
		2b)⊠ This action is			
	Since this application is in condition	<i>'</i> —		prosecution as to the r	merits is
<i>′</i> —	closed in accordance with the practi				nonto lo
	on of Claims	,	,		
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the a	annlication			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• •	onsideration		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iic williarawii iiciii ci	onsideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to:	ction and/or election	roquiromont		
		ction and/or election	requirement.		
Application	on Papers				
9)∐ Т	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)□ accepted or b	) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
. 1	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR	₹ 1.121(d).
	he oath or declaration is objected to				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received in Applic	ation No.	
	B. Copies of the certified copies				tage
	application from the Internation				3
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office actio	· ·		ived.	
			,		
Attachment(	e)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	an/PTO 442)	
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail		
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/063,335 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

## Non-Art Rejections:

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following language lacks proper antecedent basis:

In claim 16, line 2, "said physical identifier".

#### Art Rejections:

- 3. The texts of 35 USC 102(e) and 103(a) not cited here can be found in the previous office action.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 5-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Murphy, Jr. et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,006,266.

Per claims 1 and 8-9, <u>Murphy</u> discloses the invention as claimed comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/063,335

Art Unit: 2154

- a) a data source including a plurality of data services (100, 102, 104, fig. 1) for providing data through an interconnect fabric, i.e., Internet (see col 3, lines 1-8);
- b) a stateless human interface device (browser 124) coupled to the interconnect fabric for receiving and rendering data (<u>see</u> col 3, lines 9-22);
- c) a central server (110, fig. 1) at the data source configured to convert protocols (see col 3, lines 9-22), and to maintain an active session associated with a user when the user is disconnected from the stateless human interface device, wherein the active session comprises of a persistent representation of one or more executing services for the active session that is maintained when the user is disconnected (see col 8, lines 11-28).

Per claims 2, 5-7, 10-13 and 16, Murphy teaches accessing the data source by the user using an identifier (see col 9, lines 60-67).

5. Claims 3, 4, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy and further in view of Lambert, U.S. pat. No. 6,193,153.

Murphy does not teach using smart card and/or biometric sample data to authenticate users. The use of smart card and/or

Application/Control Number: 09/063,335

Art Unit: 2154

biometric data to authenticate a user is well known in the art as disclosed by Lambert (see Lambert in col 1, lines 19-29; col 6, lines 12-32 and col 9, lines 17-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize such security devices in Murphy because it would have provided further security protection to the system (see Lambert in col 1, lines 50-58).

### Response to Arguments:

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection set forth above.

#### Conclusion:

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on 571-272-3964.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Art Unit: 2154

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

who Im

Art Unit 2154 3/31/06 VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER